### **SYLLABUS** – A COURSE DESCRIPTION

## I. General information

- 1. Course name: Linguistic theory: Pragmatics
- 2. Course code: 15-LT-PRAG-EL-11 (Link USOSWeb)
- 3. Course type (compulsory or optional): compulsory
- 4. Study programme name: English linguistics: Theories, interfaces, technologies
- 5. Cycle of studies (1st or 2nd cycle of studies or full master's programme): 1st cycle of studies
- 6. Educational profile (general academic profile or practical profile): academic
- 7. Year of studies (if relevant):I
- 8. Type of classes and number of contact hours (e.g. lectures: 15 hours; practical classes: 30 hours): practical classes: 30 hours
- 9. Number of ECTS credits: 3
- 10. Name, surname, academic degree/title, email address of the course lecturer / other teaching staff\*: dr Kamila Dębowska-Kozłowska, kamila@amu.edu.pl
- 11. Language of instruction: English
- 12. Online learning yes (partially / fully) / no : yes, partially

### II. Detailed information

- 1. Course aim (aims)
  - 1.Providing students with the concepts, terminology, theories and methodologies concerning linguistic pragmatics
  - 2.Developing the skills of using the pragma-linguistic methods and tools for the analysis of communication, relying on traditional and/or multimedial sources
  - 3.Developing the skill of giving presentations on pragma-linguistic concepts, theories and models
  - 4.Developing the skill of writing good academic papers concerning pragmatics, paying attention to the structure and composition of the papers and using proper academic sources
  - 5.Being able to critically evaluate one's own knowledge and being open to new ideas and different opinions after having scrutinized the argumentation provided by others
- 2. Pre-requisites in terms of knowledge, skills and social competences (if relevant):

B2 English proficiency

3. Course learning outcomes (EU) in terms of knowledge, skills and social competences and their reference to study programme learning outcomes:

Reference to study Course learning On successful completion of the course and programme outcome symbol (EU) validation of its learning outcomes, a student: learning outcomes K\_W02, K\_W03, K\_W05 LTPRAG 01 the concepts, terminology, theories and methodologies concerning pragmatics and is able to use them properly LTPRAG\_02 K\_U02, K\_U03, uses the pragma-linguistic methods and tools for the K\_U04, K\_U07, analysis of communication relying on traditional and K\_U09, multimedial sources K\_U10,K\_U11

**Z komentarzem [PK1]:** We suggest using 5-6, expressed as actions: defines, distinguishes, understands, etc. These outcomes should be testable using the assessment methods listed later below as well as associated with the teaching contents of the course (given in the next table).

**Z komentarzem [PK2]:** Find LO for relevant "kierunek" here: http://wa.amu.edu.pl/wa/pl/efekty ksztalcenia

1

<sup>\*</sup>please underline course coordinator's name

| LTPRAG_03 | Is able to give presentations on pragma-linguistic concepts, theories and models   | K_U02-K_U05,<br>K_U07, K_U09,<br>K_U10, K_U11,<br>K_U13, K_U14 |
|-----------|--|--|
| LTPRAG_04 | produces good academic discourse, paying attention to its structure and composition and using proper argumentation and academic sources                      | K_U02-K_U06,<br>K_U07, K_U09,<br>K_U10,K_U11,<br>K_U12, K_U14  |
| LTPRAG_05 | critically evaluates one's own knowledge and is open to<br>new ideas and different opinions after having<br>scrutinized the argumentation provided by others | K_K01-K_K04  |

# 4. Learning content with reference to course learning outcomes (EU)

| Course learning content:   | Course learning outcome symbol(s) (EU) |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Linguistic pragmatics: introduction  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Pragmatics of verbal communication   | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Context in pragmatics: theoretical and empirical perspectives                                  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Pragmatic inference and the theory of implicature  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Social cognition: perception of self and others  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Speech act theory  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Pragmatics of nonverbal communication  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Politeness theories  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Cultural norms and values in communication   | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Intercultural pragmatics: intercultural competence and barriers in intercultural communication | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Affective pragmatics: emotions in language   | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Pragmatics of argumentation ad persuasion  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Pragmatics of social persuasion and manipulation   | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |
| Experimental pragmatics, research tools and paradigms  | LTPRAG_01 - LTPRAG_05                  |  |  |

# 5. Reading list

- Barrett, Lisa Feldman, Batja Mesquita, and Eliot R. Smith. 2010. "The Context Principle", In: Batja Mesquita, Lisa Feldman Barrett, and Eliot R. Smith (eds.), The Mind in the Context. The Guilford Press: New York, pp. 1-24.
- Brown, Keith (ed.). 2006. Encyclopedia of language and linguistics: Pragmatics Volume. Oxford: Elsevier. artykuły naukowe dotyczące wybranych treści kształcenia
- Mey, Jacob L. 2001. Pragmatics: An Introduction. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Perloff, Richard M. 2013. *The Dynamics of Persuasion: Communication and Attitudes in the 21st Century*.New York: Routledge.
- Thomas, Jenny. 1995. Meaning in Interaction. An Introduction to Pragmatics. Routledge: London/New York.
- Verderber, Kathleen S., Rudolph F. Verderber, Deanna D. Sellnow. 2014. Communicate!
  Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

## III. Additional information

1. Teaching and learning methods and activities to enable students to achieve the intended course learning outcomes (please indicate the appropriate methods and activities with a tick and/or suggest other methods.)

| Teaching and learning methods and activities  | Х |
|---|---|
| Lecture with a multimedia presentation  | Х |
| Interactive lecture   | Х |
| Problem-based lecture   |   |
| Discussions   | Х |
| Text-based work   | Х |
| Case study work   |   |
| Problem-based learning  |   |
| Educational simulation / game   |   |
| Task-solving learning (e.g.: calculation, artistic, practical tasks)  |   |
| Experiential work   | Х |
| Laboratory work   |   |
| Scientific inquiry method   | Х |
| Workshop method   | Х |
| Project work  |   |
| Demonstration and observation   | Х |
| Sound and/or video demonstration  | Х |
| Creative methods (e.g.: brainstorming, SWOT analysis, decision tree method, snowball technique, concept maps) | х |
| Group work  | Х |
| Other – please specify Reading materials and assignments on the Moodle platform                               | Х |
|   |   |

<sup>2.</sup> Assessment methods to test if learning outcomes have been achieved (please indicate with a tick the appropriate methods for each LO (EU) and/or suggest different methods)

| Assessment methods  | Course learning outcome symbol |           |           |           |           |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|   | LTPRAG_01                      | LTPRAG_02 | LTPRAG_03 | LTPRAG_04 | LTPRAG_05 |
| Written exam  |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Oral exam   |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Open book exam  |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Written test  | Х                              | Х         | Х         | Х         | Х         |
| Oral test   |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Multiple choice test  | Х                              | Х         | Х         | Х         | Х         |
| Project   |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Essay   |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Report  |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Individual presentation   | Х                              | х         | Х         | Х         | Х         |
| Practical exam (performance observation)                        |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Portfolio   |                                |           |           |           |           |
| Other (please specify) - Participation in classroom discussions | х                              | х         | х         | х         | х         |
|   |                                |           |           |           |           |

# 3. Student workload (ECTS credits)

| Activity types                    |   | Mean number of hours spent on each activity type |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                   | act hours with the teacher as specified in the study amme       | 30   |
| Preparation for classes           |   | 20   |
| self-study*                       | Reading for classes   | 20   |
|                                   | Essay / report / presentation / demonstration preparation, etc. |  |
| self-                             | Project preparation   |  |
| nts'                              | Term paper preparation  |  |
| Students'                         | Exam preparation  | 20   |
|                                   | Other (please specify) -  |  |
|                                   |   |  |
| TOTA                              | L HOURS   | 90   |
| Total ECTS credits for the course |   | 3  |

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{*}}$  please indicate the appropriate activity types and/or propose different activities

**Z komentarzem [PK3]:** The total number of working hours should be equal to the number of ECTS points multiplied by 30.

**Z komentarzem [PK4]:** The number of ECTS credits should be THE SAME as in the General information section on the first page.

4. Assessment criteria in accordance with AMU in Poznan's grading system:

Very good (bdb; 5.0): The student has excellent knowledge of pragma-linguistic terminology, freely uses the acquired knowledge in writing and speaking, understands pragma-linguistic concepts, theories and methodologies, perfectly uses the pragma-linguistic methods and tools for the analysis of communication relying on traditional and multimedial sources

Good plus (+db; 4.5): The student has very good knowledge of pragma-linguistic terminology, freely uses the acquired knowledge in writing and speaking, understands pragma-linguistic concepts, theories and methodologies, properly uses the pragma-linguistic methods and tools for the analysis of communication relying on traditional and multimedial sources, but makes minor mistakes

Good (db; 4.0): The student has good knowledge of pragma-linguistic terminology, correctly uses the acquired knowledge in writing and speaking, understands pragma-linguistic concepts, theories and methodologies, properly uses the pragma-linguistic methods and tools for the analysis of communication relying on traditional and multimedial sources, but makes a few mistakes

Satisfactory plus (+dst; 3.5): The student has knowledge of pragma-linguistic terminology, is able to use the acquired knowledge in writing and speaking to a satisfactory degree, understands pragma-linguistic concepts, theories and methodologies at a basic level, is able to use the pragma-linguistic methods and tools to some extent, but makes mistakes

Satisfactory (dst; 3.0): The student has knowledge of pragma-linguistic terminology, is able to use the acquired knowledge in writing and speaking to a satisfactory degree, understands pragmalinguistic concepts, theories and methodologies at a basic level, is able to use the pragmalinguistic methods and tools to some extent, but makes basic mistakes

Unsatisfactory (ndst; 2.0): The student doesn't have knowledge of pragma-linguistic terminology, doesn't use the acquired knowledge in writing and speaking, doesn't understand pragma-linguistic concepts, theories and methodologies, is not able to use the pragma-linguistic methods and tools for the analysis of communication without making basic mistakes

**Z komentarzem [PK5]:** Specify the assessment criteria for this very course